

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/825,404	Applicant(s) RAMSEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Satish S. Rampuria	Art Unit 2191	

All Participants:

(1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(2) Hunter E. Webb (54,593).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No.

Claims discussed:

1.3.10-16.17-22.23.25.30.32.

Prior art documents discussed:

No.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed and examiner's amendment, Mr. Webb have granted the permission to make the examiner's amendment. For details please see the examiners amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Satish S. Rampuria
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)